

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SHERMAN DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**ANTHONY JOHN YANIS (1)**

§  
§  
§   **CASE NUMBER 4:16-CR-128 MAC/KPJ**  
§  
§  
§  
§

**AMENDED REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON  
THE DEFENDANT'S COMPETENCY TO STAND TRIAL**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, this criminal proceeding is before the undersigned United States magistrate judge.

On February 13, 2017, Defendant filed a motion seeking a psychiatric or psychological exam to determine if Defendant was suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him mentally incompetent to the extent he is unable to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him or to assist properly in his defense. The Court granted that motion on February 17, 2017. (Dkt. No. 30, 31.) Defendant was subsequently evaluated by Dr. Samuel Browning, Licensed Psychologist at the Federal Correctional Institution in Fort Worth, Texas and found competent.

Dr. Samuel Browning's psychiatric report concludes that "The defendant does not appear to suffer from a mental disease or defect rendering him unable to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings again him or to properly assist in his defense." (Dkt. No. 36.)

A competency hearing was conducted on April 26, 2017. At the hearing, Defendant appeared in court with his counsel, Frank Henderson. Frank Henderson did not present any

objections to Dr. Samuel Browning's opinion on competency. Neither party objected to the admissibility of the psychological report detailing the results and findings, therefore, the Court admitted it into evidence under seal.

The undersigned concludes that Defendant is able to understand the nature and consequences of the proceedings against him and to assist properly in his defense. Defendant has a rational and factual understanding of the proceeding against him, and has sufficient present ability to consult with his attorney with a reasonable degree of rational understanding. 18 U.S.C. § 4241(d); see also Dusky v. United States, 362 U.S. 402 (1960)

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The court should find Defendant competent to stand trial because he understands the nature and consequences of the proceeding against him and is able to assist in his defense. See 18 U.S.C. § 4241. It is further recommended that the speedy trial time be excluded from February 13, 2017, (the date the Defendant filed an Unopposed Motion for Psychiatric Exam), until the date on which the District Judge signs the order adopting this report and recommendation.

### **OBJECTIONS**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), each party to this action has the right to file objections to this report and recommendation. Objections to this report must: (1) be in writing, (2) specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which the party objects, and (3) be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c); FED R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2). A party who objects to this report is entitled to a de novo determination by the United States District Judge of those proposed findings and recommendations to which a specific objection is timely made. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

A party's failure to file specific, written objections to the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this report, within fourteen (14) days of being served with a copy of this report, bars that party from: (1) entitlement to *de novo* review by the United States District Judge of the findings of fact and conclusions of law, see Rodriguez v. Bowen, 857 F.2d 275, 276–77 (5th Cir. 1988), and (2) appellate review, except on grounds of plain error, of any such findings of fact and conclusions of law accepted by the United States District Judge, see Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, at 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**SIGNED this 30th day of May, 2017.**



---

KIMBERLY C. PRIEST JOHNSON  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE